## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

In re: WHITNEY DESIGN, INC.,  Debtor.	)	
	)	Case No. 09- 51928-705
	)	Chapter 11
	)	•
	)	
	)	
WHITNEY DESIGN, INC.,	)	
Movant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Respondent.	)	

# APPELLANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE PRESENTED ON APPEAL

Pursuant to Rule 8006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, appellant United States of America submits its Statement of Issues to Be Presented on Appeal with respect to its Notice of Appeal filed February 11, 2010 (Docket No. 93).

- 1. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in granting the Amended Motion to Sell.
- 2. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in authorizing the sale of the Debtor's assets.
- 3. Whether the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) were satisfied.
- 4. Whether a sound business purpose existed for the sale of the Debtor's assets.
- 5. Whether accurate and reasonable notice of the sale of the Debtor's assets was provided.
  - 6. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets unfairly benefitted the Debtor's insiders.
- 7. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets unfairly benefitted Household Essentials, LLC.

- 8. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets unfairly favored a creditor or class of creditors.
  - 9. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets was for a fair and reasonable price.
  - 10. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets was contrary to public policy.
- 11. Whether the United States was entitled to adequate protection under 11 U.S.C. § 363(e).
- 12. Whether the Debtor could provide adequate protection of the United States' interests under 11 U.S.C. § 363(e).
  - 13. Whether the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) were satisfied.
- 14. Whether the Debtor's assets could be sold free and clear of the United States' interests or claims.
  - 15. Whether Household Essentials, LLC was the holder of a secured claim.
- 16. Whether Household Essentials, LLC was entitled to credit bid under 11 U.S.C. § 363(k).
  - 17. Whether the sale of the Debtor's assets was proposed in good faith.
- 18. Whether Household Essentials, LLC was a good faith purchaser under 11 U.S.C. § 363(m).
- 19. Whether the United States was entitled to a stay pending appeal of the Order Granting the Amended Motion to Sell.

## This 24th day of February 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

TONY WEST Assistant Attorney General

RICHARD G. CALLAHAN United States Attorney

WESLEY WEDEMEYER Assistant United States Attorney Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse 111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 539-3900 (314) 539-2309 (f)

### //s// Matthew J. Troy

J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN TRACY J. WHITAKER MATTHEW J. TROY Attorneys, Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 514-9038 (202) 307-0494 (f)

Attorneys for the United States of America

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of February 2010 a copy of the foregoing Statement of Issues to Be Presented on Appeal was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court, and served electronically upon participants in the Court's CM/ECF system, including:

Office of the United States Trustee 111 S. 10th Street, Suite 6353 St. Louis, MO 63102

David A. Warfield Thompson Coburn, LLP One US Bank Plaza St. Louis, MO 63101

David A. Sosne Summers Compton Wells PC 8909 Ladue Road St. Louis, MO 63124

Marshall C. Turner Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600 St. Louis, MO 63105

Gregory D. Willard Bryan Cave One Metropolitan Square 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2750

John Talbot Sant Armstrong Teasdale, LLP One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2740

//s// Matthew J. Troy
Matthew J. Troy
Attorney for the United States of America